ZETT-PACKARD COMPANY PADEMAR In ellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

Confirmation No.: 7848

20010013-1

Inventor(s):

Sahlbach

Application No.: 09/928,859

Filing Date: 18 AUG 2001

Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

Examiner: Courtney D. Fields

Group Art Unit: 2137

METHOD, COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING A SWTICH USER

Title: FUNCTIONALITY IN AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGICAL NETWORK

Mail Stop After Final **Commissioner For Patents** Α

PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450														
TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT														
Tran	Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:													
	Response/Amendment New fee as calculated below No additional fee							Petition to extend time to respond Supplemental Declaration						
	Other Interview Summary							Fee\$						
	CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY													
	(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA			(4) ST NUMBER ISLY PAID FOR		(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) ITIONAL EES		
	TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS				11	0	х	\$50	\$	0		
	INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS				=	0	х	\$200	\$	0		
		FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM + \$360									\$	0		
	EXTENSION FEE	1st Month \$120	2nd \$456	Month 0		3rdMonth \$1020	h		4th Me \$1590		\$	0		
	OTHER FEES													
	TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT											0		
Charge \$ to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.														
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.														
Date of Deposit: 13 September 2006														
	Typed Name: /Marilyn Alexander							Paul D. Greeley						
Signa	Signature: Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)													

Reg No.:

31,019

Date:

13 September 2006

Telephone: (203) 327-4500

Rev 10/05 (TransAmd)

SEP 1 8 2006 THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Sahlbach

Serial No.:

09/928,859

For:

METHOD, COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT AND SYSTEM FOR

PROVIDING A SWITCH USER FUNCTIONALITY IN AN

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGICAL NETWORK

Filed:

18 AUG 2001

Examiner:

Courtney D. Fields

Art Unit:

2137

Confirmation No.:

7848

Customer No.:

27,623

Attorney Docket No.: 20010013-1

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The present document is a summary of an interview conducted on 11 SEP 2006, pertaining to the above-noted application. The participants in the interview were John Yankovich (Applicant's representative), Examiner Courtney Fields and Examiner Matthew Smithers.

In a final office action dated 2 JUN 2006 (hereinafter "the office action"), claims 1 – 4, 6, 8 – 16 and 20 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,886,038 to Tabbara et al. (hereinafter "the Tabbara et al. patent"). Claims 1, 13 and 25 are independent. The other pending claim each depend from one of claims 1, 13 or 25.

During the interview, the participants discussed claims 1, 13 and 25 and the Tabbara et al. patent. More particularly, with regard to claim 1, the participants noted the following points of distinction:

- (a) the Tabbara et al. patent describes encrypting using a <u>public key</u>, whereas claim 1 recites signing an SU certificate with a signature using a <u>private key</u>; and
- (b) the Tabbara et al. patent describes decrypting using a <u>private key</u>, whereas claim 1 recites authenticating the signature with a <u>public key</u>.

The participants also noted that claims 13 and 25 include recitals similar to those of claim 1, as described above.

In view of the aforementioned points of distinction, the Examiners agreed:

- (1) that the Tabbara et al. patent does not anticipate any of claims 1, 13 or 25, and therefore also does not anticipate any of the pending claims; and
- (2) to withdraw the finality of the office action.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims presented in this application patentably distinguish over the prior art. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration and that this application be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 0 1 1 3 1 0 8

Paul D. Greeley

Reg. No. 31,019

Attorney for the Applicant

Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.

One Landmark Square, 10th Floor

Stamford, CT 06901-2682

Tel: 203-327-4500 Fax: 203-327-6401